



BGP Flow Spec for DDoS mitigation





Hello

I'm Pavel Odintsov, DDoS mitigation enthusiast, the author of FastNetMon.
<https://fastnetmon.com> and CTO of FastNetMon LTD.

Ways to contact me:


- [linkedin.com/in/podintsov](https://www.linkedin.com/in/podintsov)
- github.com/pavel-odintsov
- twitter.com/odintsov_pavel
- IRC, Libera Chat, pavel_odintsov
- pavel@fastnetmon.com

Current DDoS Weather



Data provided by The Dutch National Scrubbing Center (NaWas)


BGP Blackhole / RTBH



What is the
problem?



Carpet Bombing Attack





What is BGP Flow Spec / RFC5575

- Protocol to configure distributed firewall
- BGP NLRI (Network Layer Reachability Information)
- RFC 5575 standard was published in 2009



BGP Flow Spec filtering capabilities

- Source prefix (IPv4 or IPv6)
- Destination prefix (IPv4 or IPv6)
- IP Protocol number
- List or range of source ports for TCP and UDP
- List or range of destination ports for TCP and UDP
- ICMP code
- TCP flags
- Packet length
- Fragmentation flags (do not fragment, is fragment, first or last fragment)
- DSCP



BGP Flow Spec filtering actions

- Drop
- Rate limit
- Accept
- Mark (DSCP)
- Redirect to VRF
- Redirect to nexthop (draft)

Workgroup spent 6 years on RFC 5575

Dissemination of Flow Specification Rules
draft-ietf-idr-flow-spec-09

Status IESG evaluation record IESG writeups Email expansions History

Versions 09


draft-marques-idr-flow-spec 00
draft-ietf-idr-flow-spec rk5575


Version	Date
00	Jan 2003
01	Oct 2004
02	Dec 2004
03	Aug 2005
04	Jul 2007
05	Aug 2007
06	Apr 2008
07	Sep 2008
08	Nov 2008
09	Jan 2009
09	Nov 2009
09	Mar 2009
09	Aug 2009

The information below is for an old version of the document that is already published as an RFC.

Document	Type RFC Internet-Draft (idr WG)
	Authors Pedro Marques sa, Jared Mauch sa, Nischal Sheth sa, Barry Greene sa, Robert Raszak sa, Danny McPherson sa
	Last updated 2020-01-21 (latest revision 2009-05-26)
	Replaces draft-marques-idr-flow-spec
	Stream Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
	Formats plain text htmlized pdfized bibtext
	Reviews SECDIR Last Call Review
Stream	WG state WG Document
	Document shepherd No shepherd assigned
IESG	IESG state RFC 5575 (Proposed Standard)
	Consensus Boilerplate Unknown
	Telechat date
	Responsible AD Adrian Farrel
	Send notices to (None)

Support on Juniper, JunOS 12.3, March 2012?

Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) 

BGP flow specification version 7 

[See Supported Releases](#)

Results

The selected features are supported in following products/applications and releases:

Product/Application	Supported Release(s)																		
MX5	Junos OS																		
	21.4E1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R0	21.3R2	21.1R1	20.4R0	20.4R2	20.4R1	20.3R0	20.3R2	20.3R1	20.2R0	20.2R2	20.2R1		
	20.1R3	20.1R2	20.1R1	19.4R3	19.4R2	19.4R1	19.3R0	19.3R2	19.3R1	19.2R3	19.2R2	19.2R1	19.1R3	19.1R2	19.1R1	18.4R3	18.4R2		
	18.4R1	18.3R3	18.3R2	18.3R1	18.2R3	18.2R2	18.2R1	18.1R3	18.1R2	18.1R1	17.4R3	17.4R2	17.4R1	17.3R3	17.3R2	17.3R1	15.3R7		
	15.1R6	15.1F7	15.1R5	15.1F6	15.1R4	15.1R3	15.1F5	15.1F4	15.1F3	15.1R2	15.1F2	15.1R1	12.3R12	12.3R11	12.3R10	12.3R9	12.3R8		
12.3R7	12.3R6	12.3R5	12.3R4	12.3R3	12.3R2	12.3R1													
MX10	Junos OS																		
	21.4E1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R0	21.3R2	21.1R1	20.4R0	20.4R2	20.4R1	20.3R0	20.3R2	20.3R1	20.2R0	20.2R2	20.2R1		
	20.1R3	20.1R2	20.1R1	19.4R3	19.4R2	19.4R1	19.3R0	19.3R2	19.3R1	19.2R3	19.2R2	19.2R1	19.1R3	19.1R2	19.1R1	18.4R3	18.4R2		
	18.4R1	18.3R3	18.3R2	18.3R1	18.2R3	18.2R2	18.2R1	18.1R3	18.1R2	18.1R1	17.4R3	17.4R2	17.4R1	17.3R3	17.3R2	17.3R1	15.3R7		
	15.1R6	15.1F7	15.1R5	15.1F6	15.1R4	15.1R3	15.1F5	15.1F4	15.1F3	15.1R2	15.1F2	15.1R1	12.3R12	12.3R11	12.3R10	12.3R9	12.3R8		
12.3R7	12.3R6	12.3R5	12.3R4	12.3R3	12.3R2	12.3R1													
MX40	Junos OS																		
	21.4E1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R0	21.3R2	21.1R1	20.4R0	20.4R2	20.4R1	20.3R0	20.3R2	20.3R1	20.2R0	20.2R2	20.2R1		
	20.1R3	20.1R2	20.1R1	19.4R3	19.4R2	19.4R1	19.3R0	19.3R2	19.3R1	19.2R3	19.2R2	19.2R1	19.1R3	19.1R2	19.1R1	18.4R3	18.4R2		
	18.4R1	18.3R3	18.3R2	18.3R1	18.2R3	18.2R2	18.2R1	18.1R3	18.1R2	18.1R1	17.4R3	17.4R2	17.4R1	17.3R3	17.3R2	17.3R1	15.3R7		
	15.1R6	15.1F7	15.1R5	15.1F6	15.1R4	15.1R3	15.1F5	15.1F4	15.1F3	15.1R2	15.1F2	15.1R1	12.3R12	12.3R11	12.3R10	12.3R9	12.3R8		
12.3R7	12.3R6	12.3R5	12.3R4	12.3R3	12.3R2	12.3R1													
MX60	Junos OS																		
	21.4E1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R2	21.3R1	21.3R0	21.3R2	21.1R1	20.4R0	20.4R2	20.4R1	20.3R0	20.3R2	20.3R1	20.2R0	20.2R2	20.2R1		
	20.1R3	20.1R2	20.1R1	19.4R3	19.4R2	19.4R1	19.3R0	19.3R2	19.3R1	19.2R3	19.2R2	19.2R1	19.1R3	19.1R2	19.1R1	18.4R3	18.4R2		
	18.4R1	18.3R3	18.3R2	18.3R1	18.2R3	18.2R2	18.2R1	18.1R3	18.1R2	18.1R1	17.4R3	17.4R2	17.4R1	17.3R3	17.3R2	17.3R1	15.3R7		
	15.1R6	15.1F7	15.1R5	15.1F6	15.1R4	15.1R3	15.1F5	15.1F4	15.1F3	15.1R2	15.1F2	15.1R1	12.3R12	12.3R11	12.3R10	12.3R9	12.3R8		
12.3R7	12.3R6	12.3R5	12.3R4	12.3R3	12.3R2	12.3R1													

Support on Juniper, JunOS 7.3, August 2005?

Router Vendors:

- Alcatel-Lucent SR OS 9.0R1
- Juniper JUNOS 7.3
- Cisco 5.2.0 for ASR and CRS [6]

Copyright © 2014 Juniper Networks, Inc.

Support on Juniper, JunOS 7.2, May 2005!

Flow Spec Status

IETF draft available at:

– <http://www.tcb.net/draft-marques-idr-flow-spec-03.txt>

- Implemented as of JunOS 7.2 (but not documented)
- At least three tier1/2 providers in process of production deployment
- Several security vendors announced integration
- Cisco complimentary TIDP proposal

8



<https://archive.nanog.org/meetings/nanog38/presentations/labovitz-bgp-flowspec.pdf>

Support on Nokia, March 2011

Alcatel-Lucent



7750 SR OS Services Guide

Software Version: 7750 SR OS 9.0 r1
March 2011
Document Part Number: 93-0076-08-01



```
Entry      : fSpec-1-32767 - inserted by BGP FlowSpec
Description : (Not Specified)
Log Id     : n/a
Src. IP    : 0.0.0.0/0
Dest. IP   : 0.0.0.0/0
Protocol   : 6
ICMP Type  : Undefined
Fragment   : Off
Sampling   : Off
IP-Option  : 0/0
TCP-syn    : Off
Match action : Drop
Ing. Matches : 0 pkts
Egr. Matches : 0 pkts

Src. Port   : None
Dest. Port  : None
Dscp        : Undefined
ICMP Code   : Undefined
Option-present : Off
Int. Sampling : On
Multiple Option: Off
TCP-ack     : Off
```

```
Entry      : fSpec-1-49151 - inserted by BGP FlowSpec
Description : (Not Specified)
Log Id     : n/a
Src. IP    : 0.0.0.0/0
Dest. IP   : 0.0.0.0/0
Protocol   : 17
ICMP Type  : Undefined
Fragment   : Off
Sampling   : Off
IP-Option  : 0/0
TCP-syn    : Off
Match action : Drop
Ing. Matches : 0 pkts
Egr. Matches : 0 pkts

Src. Port   : None
Dest. Port  : None
Dscp        : Undefined
ICMP Code   : Undefined
Option-present : Off
Int. Sampling : On
Multiple Option: Off
TCP-ack     : Off
```

```
=====  
*A:Dut-C>config>filter#
```


Support on Cisco, 2014

Cisco Routers BGP FS Implementation



Platform Hardware	Support in Data Plane
ASR 9k – Typhoon LC (MOD80/160, 24-36x10G, 1-2x100G)	XR 5.2.0
ASR 9k – SIP700	XR 5.2.2
ASR 9001(-S)	XR 5.2.2
ASR 9k – Tomahawk (MOD200/400, 4-8-12x100G)	XR 5.3.0
CRS-3 (Taiko) LC (1x100G, 14-20x10G, Flex)	XR 5.2.0
CRS-X (Topaz) LC (4x100G, 40x10G, Flex)	XR 5.3.2
NCS 6000	XR 5.2.4 / 6.2.2 / roadmap*
XRv 9000	5.4.0 CP only / DP later
NCS 5000 / NCS 5500	In the roadmap
ASR 1000	IOS XE 3.15
CSR 1000v	IOS XE 3.15
NCS 5500 (Jericho+ w/ eTCAM)	XR 6.5.1

Note: IOS XE introduced the support of BGP FS in 3.15 (but not as a controller role)

Support on Bird 2, 2017

IPv4 Flowspec

`dst inet4`

Set a matching destination prefix (e.g. `dst 192.168.0.0/16`). Only this option is mandatory in IPv4 Flowspec.

`src inet4`

Set a matching source prefix (e.g. `src 10.0.0.0/8`).

`proto numbers-match`

Set a matching IP protocol numbers (e.g. `proto 6`).

`port numbers-match`

Set a matching source or destination TCP/UDP port numbers (e.g. `port 1..1023,1194,3306`).

`dport numbers-match`

Set a matching destination port numbers (e.g. `dport 49151`).

`sport numbers-match`

Set a matching source port numbers (e.g. `sport = 0`).

`icmp type numbers-match`

Set a matching type field number of an ICMP packet (e.g. `icmp type 3`).

`icmp code numbers-match`

Set a matching code field number of an ICMP packet (e.g. `icmp code 1`).

`tcp flags bitmask-match`

Set a matching bitmask for TCP header flags (aka control bits) (e.g. `tcp flags 0x03/0x0f`). The maximum length of mask is 12 bits (0xfff).

`length numbers-match`

Set a matching packet length (e.g. `length > 1500`).

`dscp numbers-match`

Set a matching DiffServ Code Point number (e.g. `dscp 8..15`).

`fragment fragmentation-type`

Set a matching type of packet fragmentation. Allowed fragmentation types are `dont_fragment`, `is_fragment`, `first_fragment`, `last_fragment` (e.g. `fragment is_fragment && !dont_fragment`).

Support on Extreme, December 2018

Overview

The focus of SLX-OS 18r.2.00 release is enhancing the Border Routing solution for SLX 9850, SLX 9540 as well as support for a new platform, the fixed form factor SLX 9640, for customers requiring larger route scale for border routing with Internet peering.

The following key software capabilities are added in this release:

- High IPv4, IPv6 route scale support on SLX 9640 to enable multiple full Internet peering tables on the same box using multiple VRFs
- Fast convergence at internet peering scale on bootup and peer, nexthop failures with BGP Prefix Independent Convergence(PIC).
- BGP Flowspec support for DDOS protection. This feature as described in RFC 5575 enables dissemination of filtering rules with standard BGP protocol to the border router (or from border router) so specific ACL filters can be applied to take various possible actions on DDOS attack traffic flows.
- BGP large community support per RFC 8092 to support 4-byte ASN in BGP communities attribute for policy handling.
- vSLX support for ESXi Hypervisor with vSLX install software 2.1.0

Support on Arista, March 2020

BGP Flowspec

The **EOS Release 4.21.3F** introduces support for BGP Flowspec, as defined in **RFC5575** and **RFC7674**. The typical use case is to filter or redirect DDoS traffic on edge routers.

BGP Flowspec rules are disseminated using a new BGP address family. The rules include both matching criteria used to match traffic, and actions to perform on the matching traffic. The rules are programmed into TCAM resources and applied on the ingress ports for which flowspec is enabled.

Support for BGP flowspec + Release Updates

Written by Jason Shamberger | Posted on March 11, 2020 | Updated on February 22, 2021 | 2209 Views

EOS 4.21.3F introduces support for BGP Flowspec, as defined in RFC5575 and RFC7674. The typical use case is to filter

4.22.1 # 4.23.2F # 4.23.1 # Flowspec # 4.24.0 # 4.23.2 # 4.22.0

[Read More >](#)



BGP Flow Spec challenges

- Limited number of BGP Flow Spec rules
- Lack of standard approach to retrieve packet and byte counters per rule
- Lack of proper rule validation
- Different hardware limitations
- Lack of interface to manage rules efficiently
- Weak integration with Netflow and IPFIX
- Lack of solid support for draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-redirect-ip-00

BGP Flow Spec limitations: Juniper MX

- One of the most mature implementations
- Issues with traffic telemetry reporting for discarded traffic in Netflow./
IPFIX:

<https://pavel.network/quirks-of-juniper-netflow-and-ipfix-implementations/>

<https://apps.juniper.net/feature-explorer/feature-info.html?fKey=7679&fn=Enhancements+to+inline+flow+monitoring>

BGP Flow Spec limitations: Cisco ASR 9000

- A maximum of five multi-value range can be specified in a flowspec rule
- You cannot configure the IPv6 first-fragment match and last-fragment match simultaneously on the Cisco ASR 9000 series routers as they are mutually exclusive.

<https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/td/docs/routers/asr9000/software/24xx/routing/configuration/guide/b-routing-cg-asr9000-24xx/implementing-bgp-flowspec.html>

BGP Flow Spec limitations: Huawei

- Huawei's implementation of fragmentation flags is not RFC 5575 compliant by default. It requires setting flag: `flowspec ipv4-fragment-rule switch`
- Issues with using sFlow for monitoring activity of BGP Flow Spec: <https://pavel.network/sflow-on-huawei-story-of-scarcity-and-redundancy/>

https://support.huawei.com/hedex/hdx.do?docid=EDOC1100331624&id=EN-US_CLI_REF_0000001711648022

BGP Flow Spec limitations: Arista

- For TCP flags, the ECE, CWR, and NS flags are not supported.
- For fragment flags, only the Is a fragment (IsF) bit is supported only for IPv4 packets. Combining source and destination ports and the Fragment flags in the same rule is not supported

BGP Flow Spec limitations: Extreme

- Only the IsF bit is supported for BGP flowspec NLRI sub-component type 12 (Fragment). DF, FF, and LF bit functionality is not supported.
- Two-byte TCP flags are not supported.
- When a rate-limiting action is set under a BGP flowspec rule, the operational rate value may differ from the rate value specified in the flowspec rule because operational values are selected in multiples of 22 kbits per second.
- IPv4 BGP flowspec rules are applied only to IPv4 data traffic. They are not applied to IPv6 data traffic.
- The following TCP flags are not supported: Explicit Congestion Notification Echo (ECE) and Congestion Window Reduced (CWR)

<https://documentation.extremenetworks.com/slxos/sw/20xx/20.3.1/l3config/GUID-072B8895-C424-43AE-917E-9351225C91E2.shtml>

BGP Flow Spec and IPFIX, Netflow on Cisco

This Information Element describes the forwarding status of the flow and any attached reasons.

The layout of the encoding is as follows:

```
MSB - 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 - LSB
+-----+-----+
| Status| Reason code or flags |
+-----+-----+
```

See the Forwarding Status sub-registries at

[\[https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipfix/ipfix.xhtml#forwarding-status\]](https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipfix/ipfix.xhtml#forwarding-status).

Examples:

```
value : 0x40 = 64
binary: 01000000
decode: 01      -> Forward
        000000 -> No further information
```

```
value : 0x89 = 137
binary: 10001001
decode: 10      -> Drop
        001001 -> Bad TTL
```

Forwarding Status (Value 89)

Registration Procedure(s)

Expert Review

Expert(s)

IE Doctors

Reference

[\[RFC7270\]](#)

Available Formats



CSV

Value	Description	Reference
00b	Unknown	[RFC7270]
01b	Forwarded	[RFC7270]
10b	Dropped	[RFC7270]
11b	Consumed	[RFC7270]

Status 00b: Unknown



FastNetMon: our community

- Site: <https://fastnetmon.com>
- GitHub: <https://github.com/pavel-odintsov/fastnetmon>
- Slack: <https://slack.fastnetmon.com/>
- Telegram: <https://t.me/fastnetmon>
- IRC: #fastnetmon at Libra Chat
- Discord: <https://discord.fastnetmon.com/>
- LinkedIn: <https://www.linkedin.com/company/fastnetmon/>
- Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/fastnetmon/>
- Twitter: <https://twitter.com/fastnetmon>

THANKS!

ANY QUESTIONS?

You can find me at:

- ◇ @odintsov_pavel
- ◇ pavel@fastnetmon.com
- ◇ linkedin.com/in/podintsov

