

BGP Flow Spec for

DDoS mitigation

Hello

I'm Pavel Odintsov, DDoS mitigation enthusiast, the author of FastNetMon: https://fastnetmon.com and CTO of FastNetMon LTD.

Ways to contact me:

- linkedin.com/in/podintsov
- github.com/pavel-odintsov
- twitter.com/odintsov_pavel
- IRC, Libera Chat, pavel_odintsov
- pavel@fastnetmon.com

Current DDoS Weather





DNS amplification
NTP amplification
UDP flood
LDAP amplification
TCP flag attack

Data provided by The Dutch National Scrubbing Center (NaWas), Q2 2022

BGP Blackhole / RTBH



4

What is the problem?

Carpet Bombing Attack



What is BGP Flow Spec / RFC5575

- Protocol to configure distributed firewall
- BGP NLRI (Network Layer Reachability Information)
- RFC 5575 standard was published in 2009

BGP Flow Spec filtering capabilities

- Source prefix (IPv4 or IPv6)
- Destination prefix (IPv4 or IPv6)
- IP Protocol number
- List or range of source ports for TCP and UDP
- List or range of destination ports for TCP and UDP
- ICMP code
- TCP flags
- Packet length
- Fragmentation flags (do not fragment, is fragment, first or last fragment)
- DSCP

BGP Flow Spec filtering actions

9

- Drop
- Rate limit
- Accept
- Mark (DSCP)
- Redirect to VRF
- Redirect to nexthop (draft)

Workgroup spent 6 years on RFC 5575

Dissemination of Flow Specification Rules



Support on Juniper, JunOS 12.3, March 2012?

Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) 🕮																		
IBGP flow specification version 7																		
							1	Service Common										
								See Support	id Releases									
Results																		
The selected features are supported in following produced	lucts/applications and releases:																	
Product/Application	Supported Re	elease(s)																
M0K5	Junos OS																	
	25.481	23.382	21,361	23.282	21.281	21,183	21.182	22.181	20.4R3	20.482	2014R3	20.383	20.382	20.9R5	20.283	20.2R2	20.285	
	20.183	20.182	20.181	19.483	19.482	19.481	19.383	19.3R7	19.3R1	19.2R3	19.282	19.281	19.183	19.187	19.181	18.483	18.482	
	18.481	15.3R3	28-382	18 3R1	18 283	18.282	18.291	16-183	16.1RJ	18:383	17.483	17.4R2	17.481	17-383	17.382	17.381	15.3R7	
	15.184	15.177	15.1R5	15.5FA	15189	15.162	15.185	15.1F4	35.1F3	15.382	15 592	15.1R1	12.3812	12.3831	12.0810	12.389	12.3RS	
	12.387	12,385	12,385	12.384	12,000	12.382	12.001											
MX10	Janna OS																	
	21.481	23,382	21.982	21.282	21,281	21 183	21.182	22 181	20.4R3	20/4R2	20.4R1	20.383	20.382	20.981	20.283	20.2R2	20.283	
	20.183	20.182	20.1R1	19483	19.4R2	19.481	19.383	19.5R2	19.3R1	19:203	19382	19.281	19,383	19,182	15.181	18.4R3	18.482	
	18.481	18.3R3	38.3R2	18.3R1	18:28:3	18.292	18.281	18 1R3	16.1R2	18 3R3	17.483	17.4R2	17.481	17.3R3	17.382	17.381	15 387	
	THIRS	15,1F7	15.1R5	15.5Fe	15.389	35,883	15.185	15.174	25.3F2	15.37/2	15.182	15.181	12.3812	12.2811	12.3810	12.389	12.388	
	12.387	12,396	12.085	12 3R4	12.363	12 382	12,081											
MX40	Junos OS																	
	21.481	21,382	21.3R1	21.282	21281	21.183	21.182	21181	20.4R3	20.482	20.4R1	20.883	20.382	20.3R1	20.283	20.2R2	20.281	
	20.189	20.1R2	20.3R1	19.4R3	19.4R2	19.4R1	19.282	19.3R2	19.3R1	19.283	19282	19,281	19.182	19.182	19.181	18.487	38.4R2	
	10.481	18,383	18.3P2	10.081	18-283	18.2R2	18.281	16.183	10.1R2	18:181	17.483	17.482	17.481	17:383	17.082	17.381	15:107	
	19.186	15.1F7	55 \$R5	35.5Fe	15 1R4	15 393	15.0F5	15.184	29,183	15.9R2	15.1F2	15.181	12.3812	12,3851	12.3830	12.389	12.788	
	12.387	12.386	32.385	52.084	12.060	12.392	12.001											
MXB0	Junes OS																	
110000000	21.481	21.382	21.3R1	21.282	21.281	21.182	21.182	21.181	20.8R3	10 dR2	20.481	20.383	20.382	20.381	20.283	20.2R2	20.291	
	20.183	20,182	20.181	19.4R0	19.482	19.481	19.383	19.382	19.381	19.283	19.282	19.281	10.383	19.182	19.1R1	18.483	38.4R2	
	10.481	18.383	16 382	10.781	18.2KS	18.282	18.291	16,183	16.1R2	18 383	17.483	17 482	12,481	17.383	17.382	17.381	15.387	
	15.1R0	19:1F7	15.185	15.SFe	15.184	15.1RE	15.175	15.1F4	\$5.1FB	15.5R2	15 182	15.181	12.3812	12 3R11	12.1810	12.389	12,388	
	12.087	12.386	12.3R3	\$2.0R4	12 383	12 382	12.381											

Support on Juniper, JunOS 7.3, August 2005?

Router Vendors:

- Alcatel-Lucent SR OS 9.0R1
- Juniper JUNOS 7.3
- Cisco 5.2.0 for ASR and CRS [6]

Copyright @ 2014 Juniper Networks, Inc

https://archive.nanog.org/sites/default/files/tuesday_general_ddos_ryburn_63.16.pdf

Support on Juniper, JunOS 7.2, May 2005!

Flow Spec Status

IETF draft available at:

- http://www.tcb.net/draft-marques-idr-flow-spec-03.txt
- Implemented as of JunOS 7.2 (but not documented)
- At least three tier1/2 providers in process of production deployment
- Several security vendors announced intregration
- Cisco complimentary TIDP proposal



https://archive.nanog.org/meetings/nanog38/presentations/labovitz-bgp-flowspec.pdf

Support on Nokia, March 2011



7750 SR OS Services Guide

Software Version: 7750 SR OS 9.0 r1 March 2011 Document Part Number: 93-0076-08-01

Entry	fSpec-1-32767 - inserted by	BGP FLowSpec
Description	(Not Specified)	
Log Id	n/a	
Src. IP	0.0.0/0	Src. Port : None
Dest. IP	0.0.0.0/0	Dest. Port : None
Protocol	6	Dscp : Undefined
ICMP Type	Undefined	ICMP Code : Undefined
Fragment	Off	Option-present : Off
Sampling	Off	Int. Sampling : On
IP-Option	0/0	Multiple Option: Off
TCP-syn	Off	TCP-ack : Off
Match action	Drop	
Ing. Matches	0 pkts	
Egr. Matches	0 pkts	
Entry	fSpec-1-49151 - inserted by	BGP FLowSpec
Description	(Not Specified)	
Log Id	n/a	
Src. IP	0.0.0.0/0	Src. Port : None
Dest. IP	0.0.0.0/0	Dest. Port : None
Protocol	17	Dscp : Undefined
ICMP Type	Undefined	ICMP Code : Undefined
Fragment	Off	Option-present : Off
Sampling	Off	Int. Sampling : On
IP-Option	0/0	Multiple Option: Off
TCP-syn	Off	TCP-ack : Off
Match action	Drop	
Ing. Matches	0 pkts	
Ear, Matches	0 pkts	

*A:Dut-C>config>filter#

Support on Cisco, 2014

Cisco Routers BGP FS Implementation



Platform Hardware	Support in Data Plane
ASR 9k – Typhoon LC (MOD80/160, 24-36x10G, 1-2x100G)	XR 5.2.0
ASR 9k – SIP700	XR 5.2.2
ASR 9001(-S)	XR 5.2.2
ASR 9k – Tomahawk (MOD200/400, 4-8-12x100G)	XR 5.3.0
CRS-3 (Taiko) LC (1x100G, 14-20x10G, Flex)	XR 5.2.0
CRS-X (Topaz) LC (4x100G, 40x10G, Flex)	XR 5.3.2
NCS 6000	XR 5.2.4 / 6.2.2 / roadmap*
XRv 9000	5.4.0 CP only / DP later
NCS 5000 / NCS 5500	In the roadmap
ASR 1000	IOS XE 3.15
CSR 1000v	IOS XE 3.15
NCS 5500 (Jericho+ w/ eTCAM)	XR 6.5.1
Note: IOS XE introduced the support of BGP ES in 3.15 (b)	it not as a controller role)

https://www.ciscolive.com/c/dam/r/ciscolive/emea/docs/2018/pdf/BRKSPG-3012.pdf

Support on GoBGP, 2015

IPv4/IPv6 FlowSpec

Add a route \$ gobgp global rib -a {ipv4-flowspec ipv6-flowspec} add match <MATCH> then <THEN> <MATCH> : { destination <PREFIX> [<OFFSET>] | source <PREFIX> [<OFFSET>] | protocol <PROTOCOLS>... fragment <FRAGMENTS>... tcp-flags <TCP_FLAGS>... port <ITEM> destination-port <ITEM>... source-port <ITEM>... icmp-type <ITEM>,... 1cmp-code <ITEM>... packet-length <ITEM>... dscp <ITEM> ... | label <ITEM>... }... <PROTOCOLS> : [&] [<|<=|>|>=|==|!=] <PROTOCOL> <PROTOCOL> : egp, gre, icmp, igmp, igp, ipip, ospf, pim, rsvp, sctp, tcp, udp, unknown, <DEC_NUM> <FRAGMENTS> : [&] [=|!|!=] <FRAGMENT> <FRAGMENT> : dont-fragment, is-fragment, first-fragment, last-fragment, not-a-fragment <TCP_FLAGS> : [&] [=|||1=] <TCP_FLAG> <TCP_FLAG> : F, S, R, P, A, U, E, C <ITEM> : [&] [<|<=|>|>=|==|!=] <DEC NUM> <THEN> : { accept | discard | rate-limit <RATE> [as <AS>] | redirect <RT> | mark <DEC_NUM> | action { sample | terminal | sample-terminal } } ... <RT> : xxx:yyy, xxx.xxx.xxx.xxx:yyy, xxxx:xxxx:yyy, xxx.xxx:yyy

Show routes

\$ gobgp global rib -a {ipv4-flowspec|ipv6-flowspec}

Delete route

\$ gobgp global rib -a {ipv4-flowspec|ipv6-flowspec} del match <MATCH_EXPR>

https://ripe71.ripe.net/presentations/135-RIPE71_GoBGP.pdf

P

Support on Bird 2, 2017

IPv4 Flowspec

dst inet4

Set a matching destination prefix (e.g. dst 192.168.0.0/16). Only this option is mandatory in IPv4 Flowspec.

src inet4

Set a matching source prefix (e.g. src 10.0.0.0/8).

proto numbers-match

Set a matching IP protocol numbers (e.g. proto 6).

port numbers-match

Set a matching source or destination TCP/UDP port numbers (e.g. port 1..1023,1194,3306).

dport numbers-match

Set a mating destination port numbers (e.g. dport 49151).

sport numbers-match

Set a matching source port numbers (e.g. sport = 0).

icmp type numbers-match

Set a matching type field number of an ICMP packet (e.g. icmp type 3)

icmp code numbers-match

Set a matching code field number of an ICMP packet (e.g. icmp code 1)

tcp flags bitmask-match

Set a matching bitmask for TCP header flags (aka control bits) (e.g. tcp flags @x03/0x0f;). The maximum length of mask is 12 bits (0xfff).

length numbers-match

Set a matching packet length (e.g. length > 1500)

dscp numbers-match

Set a matching DiffServ Code Point number (e.g. dscp 8..15).

fragment fragmentation-type

Set a matching type of packet fragmentation. Allowed fragmentation types are dont_fragment, is_fragment, first_fragment, last_fragment (e.g. fragment is_fragment & dont_fragment).

Support on Extreme, December 2018

Overview

The focus of SLX-OS 18r.2.00 release is enhancing the Border Routing solution for SLX 9850, SLX 9540 as well as support for a new platform, the fixed form factor SLX 9640, for customers requiring larger route scale for border routing with Internet peering.

The following key software capabilities are added in this release:

- High IPv4, IPv6 route scale support on SLX 9640 to enable multiple full Internet peering tables on the same box using multiple VRFs
- Fast convergence at internet peering scale on bootup and peer, nexthop failures with BGP Prefix Independent Convergence(PIC).
- BGP Flowspec support for DDOS protection. This feature as described in RFC 5575 enables dissemination of filtering rules with standard BGP protocol to the border router (or from border router) so specific ACL filters can be applied to take various possible actions on DDOS attack traffic flows.
- BGP large community support per RFC 8092 to support 4-byte ASN in BGP communities attribute for policy handling.
- vSLX support for ESXi Hypervisor with vSLX install software 2.1.0

https://documentation.extremenetworks.com/release_notes/slxos/18r.2.00/SLX-OS_18r.2.00_v3_ReleaseNotes.pdf

Support on Arista, March 2020

BGP Flowspec

The *EOS Release 4.21.3F* introduces support for BGP Flowspec, as defined in *RFC5575* and *RFC7674*. The typical use case is to filter or redirect DDoS traffic on edge routers.

BGP Flowspec rules are disseminated using a new BGP address family. The rules include both matching criteria used to match traffic, and actions to perform on the matching traffic. The rules are programmed into TCAM resources and applied on the ingress ports for which flowspec is enabled.

Support for BGP flowspec + Release Updates

👤 Written by Jason Shamberger | 🔚 Posted on March 11, 2020 | 🔚 Updated on February 22, 2021 | 👁 2209 Views

(#) 4.23.1 (#) Flowspec (#) 4.24.0 (#) 4.23.2 (#) 4.22.0

EOS 4.21.3F introduces support for BGP Flowspec, as defined in RFC5575 and RFC7674. The typical use case is to filter

Read More >

BGP Flow Spec challenges

- Limited number of BGP Flow Spec rules
- Lack of standard approach to retrieve packet and byte counters per rule
- Lack of proper rule validation
- Different hardware limitations
- Lack of interface to manage rules efficiently
- Weak integration with Netflow and IPFIX
- Lack of solid support for draft-ietf-idr-flowspec-redirect-ip-00

BGP Flow Spec hardware limits: ASR 9000

Cisco Bug: CSCuz29265 - [DOC]BGPFS dont-fragment and last-fragment match is not supported on A9k

Last Modified

Sep 12, 2019

Products (1) Cisco ASR 9000 Series Aggregation Services Routers

Known Affected Releases

5.2.4.FWDG 5.3.3.FWDG

Description (partial)

Symptom:

dont-fragment and last-fragment match conditions are not supported by flowspec on the ASR9k (it's a HW limitation).

In the flowspec debug we will see following error:

RP/0/RP0/CPU0:Apr 12 10:31:37.458 : 'flowspec_mgr[1103]: %FLOWSPEC-3-MGR_CLASS_CREATE : Failed to create inline-class for flow Dest:1.0.0.2/32,Frag:=DF with actions Drop in table default:IPv4, overall:0x4081b400:'PBR' detected the 'warning' condition 'PBR PD': Not supported, 0x493bee30:'PBR' detected the 'warning' condition 'PBR PD': Not supported.

However it's not reflected in the documentation, for example: http://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/td/docs/routers/asr9000/software/asr9k_r5-2/routing/configuration/guide //b_routing_cg52xasr9k/b_routing_cg52xasr9k_chapter_011.html#task_16BCF875501E4C71812EC3188B318ABA

Conditions: match fragment-type dont-fragment

or match fragment-type last-fragment

configured under flowspec class-map

BGP Flow Spec hardware limits: Arista

- All matching components described in RFC 5575 are supported, except for the following known caveats:
 - For TCP flags, the ECE, CWR, and NS flags are not supported.
 - For fragment flags, only the *Is a fragment (IsF)* bit is supported only for IPv4 packets. Combining source and destination ports and the Fragment flags in the same rule is not supported.

Similar to other TCAM features, the number of rules (BGP NLRI) that are supported in flowspec depend on the match criteria of each rule. Assuming that Flowspec is the only TCAM feature enabled on the switch, it attempts to use all of the TCAM space available (24K entries per chip) in the forwarding chip. Simple flowspec IPv4 rules will map to one entry, allowing a max of 24K rules. Simple IPv6 rules each take two entries, resulting in a max of 12K rules.

Some types of rules expand into multiple entries in the TCAM. Port ranges are a common example. Combining source and destination port ranges in a single rule multiplies the number of entries needed to cover all combinations, which can quickly consume all of the TCAM space.

The Flowspec and Flowspec Policer TCAM profiles support configuring the feature on up to seven VRFs starting with *EOS Release 4.24.1*. This scale can be adjusted with the number of bits in the feature's port qualifier size at the expense of removing other TCAM key fields. Make-before-break policer allocation affects scaling limits.

BGP Flow Spec and IPFIX, Netflow on Cisco

This Information Element describes the forwarding status of the flow and any attached reasons.

The layout of the encoding is as follows:

See the Forwarding Status sub-registries at [https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipfix/ipfix.xhtml#forwarding-status].

Examples:

value : 0x89 = 137 binary: 10001001 decode: 10 -> Drop 001001 -> Bad TTL Forwarding Status (Value 89) Registration Procedure(s) Expert Review Expert(s) IE Doctors Reference [RFC7270] **Available Formats** CSV Reference I Value 🗵 Description Unknown [RFC7270] 00b Forwarded 01b [RFC7270] Dropped 10b [RFC7270] Consumed [RFC7270] 11b

Status 00b: Unknown

FastNetMon: our community

- Site: https://fastnetmon.com
- GitHub: <u>https://github.com/pavel-odintsov/fastnetmon</u>
- Slack: <u>https://slack.fastnetmon.com/</u>
- Telegram: https://t.me/fastnetmon
- IRC: #fastnetmon at Libra Chat
- Discord: https://discord.fastnetmon.com/
- LinkedIN: https://www.linkedin.com/company/fastnetmon/
- Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/fastnetmon/
- Twitter: https://twitter.com/fastnetmon

THANKS!

ANY QUESTIONS? You can find me at: @odintsov_pavel
pavel@fastnetmon.com
linkedin.com/in/podintsov

